

Converging and conflicting ethical values in the internal/external security continuum in Europe

European Commission, 7th Framework Programme

D.7.5. Final Plan for use and dissemination of knowledge

Deliverable submitted May 2011 in fulfilment of requirements of the FP7 Project, Converging and Conflicting Ethical Values in the Internal/External Security Continuum in Europe (INEX)

PRIO	International Peace	PO Box 9229 Grønland	T: +47 22 54 77 00	www.inaversiaat.au
	Research Institute, Oslo	NO-0134 Oslo, Norway	F: +47 22 54 77 01	www.inexproject.eu

Contents

1. Dissemination of knowledge	3
1.1 Research institutes, universities and other centres of learning	4
1.2 Project Deliverables	5
1.3 INEX Website	5
1.4 Workshops / Seminars / Academic Meetings	6
1.5 Policy briefs, papers and academic publications	6
2. Future dissemination and use of knowledge	7
3. Use and dissemination of foreground	9
3.1 List of scientific publications	10
3.2 List of scientific deliverables	12
3.3 List of other publications	15
3.4 List of dissemination activities	18
4. Report on societal implications	24
Annex I - List of INEX Beneficiaries	
Annex II - INEX Logo	
Annex III - INEX Website	
Annex IV - INEX Project overview	

1. Dissemination of knowledge

The aim of the projects dissemination activities has in general been to effectively communicate research results to the targeted policy makers and other relevant users. These users have been reached by a number of means and most of them were coordinated through the dissemination activities of Work package 8. Yet, the project results and research findings was also disseminated through the activities in other workpackages. The project undertook a broad approach in identifying and engaging the projects end-users. The main users maintained to be policy makers of the European Union, nevertheless including a range of other stakeholders related to industry, national authorities, civil society, and academic institutions. This comprised a wide variety of actors spanning several different levels of the European security architecture, and beyond.

The following specific institutions were particularly targeted (based and developed from the list in the INEX Description of Work (Annex I)):

EU Policy directorates

- *Directorate General, External Relations* (policy toward third countries, including issues of security, enlargement, European Neighbourhood Policy, development aid, etc.) which with the Lisbon treaty in place was replaced by the *European External Action Service* (EEAS).
- Directorate General, Justice Freedom, Security and Justice (policy aimed at ensuring fundamental rights, EU citizenship, personal mobility, asylum and immigration, visa policy, managing the EU's external frontiers and close cooperation between national police, judicial and customs authorities).

EU Research and innovation directorates

- Directorate General, Enterprise and Industry (policy related to industry, enterprise, and innovation, including that which is related to Eastern Enlargement, social responsibility, environment and sustainable development and space.)
- Directorate General, Research (policy in the fields of research and technological development, promoting international effectiveness, science in modern societies, etc.)

EU Security-related agencies

- *EUROPOL* (the European police office, supports a number of law enforcement activities that touch upon the security issues of concern of INEX (illicit drug trafficking, illicit immigration networks, terrorism, forgery, human trafficking, money laundering, etc.)
- *EUROJUST*, the European Judicial Cooperation Unit (enhance the effectiveness of the competent authorities within Member States relative to when they are dealing with serious cross-border and organised crime, stimulates and improves the coordination of investigations and prosecutions, supports the Member States in investigations and prosecutions.)
- *CEPOL*, the European Police College (cross-border training of senior police officers, cooperation between relevant national institutes and organizations, support for and development of integrated EU approach to cross-border challenges in the fight against crime, crime prevention, the maintenance of law and order and public security.)
- FRONTEX, European Agency for the Management of Operational Cooperation at the External Borders (coordinates the operational cooperation between Member States in the field of border security, based on intelligence).

• *EDA*, European Defence Agency (improvement of defence capabilities especially in the field of crisis management, promotion of EU armaments cooperation, strengthening of the EU defence industrial and technological base, creation of a competitive European defence equipment market).

All of these users have been reached in one way or another through personal consultations, informal meetings, workshops and conferences, website, as well as through the policy briefs and reports regularly produced by the project. It is also important to acknowledge that the above list is not exclusive. For instance, several project meetings included representatives from other important specialized European agencies, such as for instance the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS)¹. The participation from representatives from EU institution was of particular importance for the project. Their feedback provided important insight and guidance for the research undertaken. The general understanding was that the INEX project touched upon relevant issues that previously were not extensively covered. It was therefore a broad interest for the projects different events in Brussels, and for the research at large.

National security authorities and industry

Although realizing that the security issues that are the object of INEX straddle national borders, the project's results have clear strategic and policy value also for national institutions. The dissemination plan have for this reason also transmitted project results to both national authorities and national research institutes. These contacts were made as foreseen through national contact points, and through the government funded national research foundations. Like the particular EU users, these were linked into the policy making milieus through the discussion in INEX workshops, INEX policy briefs and deliverables. Examples of national authorities that have been involved in INEX workshops, seminars and meetings are representatives from Ministries of Foreign Affairs, Ministries of Justice, Ministries of Interior, national border agencies, security agencies, various EU delegations/representations (both EU and beyond) etc. Important to mention is also the inclusion of representatives from the security industry. During several of the meetings and workshops various security industries were involved either as speakers or participants. The connection with the industry was also instrumentalized through the participation of Ericsson AS in the project. Ericsson and other representatives contributed with important insight from a different angle that which proved beneficial for the overall directions and results of the project.

1.1 Research institutes, universities and other centres of learning

The project partners have engaged in extensive cooperation with other research establishments and universities. One visual example of such cooperation is the numerous jointly organized workshops/seminars that was conducted in Paris by Workpackage 1. Moreover, INEX researchers have established close ties with researchers from other research projects of thematic relevance and with other institutes/institutions such as the European Union Institute for Security Studies (EUISS) in Paris, Institute for European Studies in Brussels (IES), College of Europe, London School of Economics (LSE), and others. These connections were both important from a research perspective, but also not the least from a practical perspective, as many of the seminars and workshops were hosted at these institutions. As foreseen in the original plan and use of dissemination (in Description of Work: Annex I) research results will be of particular interest for other researchers and educators working in parallel or on similar themes. Therefore the research results was structurally

_

¹ Taking part in the Expert Seminar: The Reframing of the Insecurity Continuum in EU's Internal / External Security Policies on 17 February 2011.

communicated to these colleagues through the academic means of research communication (scholarly publications, journals, etc.) as well as by making deliverables and other policy-oriented results of the research generally available. Moreover, for thematic relevance close cooperation was established with related projects. For instance close cooperation was established with the EU funder project Migration and Asylum in Europe and EU-Canada Relations, with several joint workshops organized. The project also made close connection with other research groups such as SUERTE (Security of the European Union and Transatlantic Relations) at Sciences Po in Paris. These ties was not only of significant importance for the content of the project itself but also strategically important for possible future collaboration. The researchers involved in training and university education have throughout the project brought their experiences to the classroom. Moreover, the working papers and reports produced were made available online also for educational purposes through the INEX home page and through the CEPS publication site. Student and scholars were also invited to take part in larger open seminars and workshops. The open workshops and conferences represented an important forum for interaction between students, educators and researchers.

Moving on, in order to give a comprehensive summary of the dissemination activities and their scope it is appropriate to look into each of these in more detail:

1.2 Project Deliverables

The deliverables served as the key output from the project. The project managed to produce all the contracted deliverables and two additional reports. In total, the project produced 47 deliverables across the different workpackages². Many of these deliverables, in particular the designated recommendation reports, were designed in a format suitable to policy makers and practitioners. Moreover, the recommendation reports aimed towards making a comprehensive account of the research results in the respective workpackages. Other deliverables were proceedings from Workshops held on the research undertaken. The main bulk of the deliverables were scientific reports on the specific themes in the project. All reports, except reports authored by researchers at CETC³, were made publicly available through the official INEX website.

1.3 INEX Website

The project webpage: www.INEXproject.eu was instrumental in communicating the projects results and to spread information about project activities. The webpage was regularly updated with research results, current affairs relevant to the project, project activities and information about policy activities carried out relative to the research activities. INEX project deliverables and the special dedicated report series INEX papers was published regularly on the website. The website has known a steady progression of visits since its creation. Here is a summary of the final statistics for the INEX website from its creation to the final date of the project⁴:

- 12,575 single visits (42,898 pageviews) in 37 months of website existence
- An average of 69.59% of new visits per month
- Visits from 125 countries, a majority of which from Western Europe and North America

² Including all periodic reports (year 1 and 2), and the periodic report and this final report yet to be submitted.

³ This was established during the Mid Term Conference meeting. Where WP1 Leader Didier Bigo concerning the issue of publishing documents on the web, explained that WP1 would not want to have their deliverables published on the external part of the INEX Website. This was due to the issue of copyright and possible future publication of these documents in journals and similar foras. No other WPs objected to having their deliverables published on the website. (documented in D.8.2.)

⁴ See also analytics. Dashboard document attached

- 36 elements of news have been published since the creation date, i.e. an average of almost 1 update per month
- 111 email addresses are subscribed to the newsletter
- Project documents have been downloaded 7931 times

1.4 Workshops / Seminars / Academic Meetings

The project has carried out a systematic series of meetings, gathering policy-makers and other relevant actors. Channelled through the dissemination workpackage (WP8) the INEX project held regular consultations, opens meetings, and conferences in Brussels at the Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) for the benefit of EU officials. These meetings were structured under the CEPS Lunchtime Meetings, jointly organized by CEPS and VUB. These meetings were structured around a set of important themes for the project. Direct meetings with officials from the various EU institutions and other important national authorities were also arranged at convenient venues in Paris, Warsaw, Ankara and Oslo in order to facilitate contact, transfer of information and receive feedback. In addition several academic workshops were held organized or co-organized by the partners in the INEX project. Several of these workshops and seminars provided linkage with other related research projects and relevant institutes and civil society (see above). Proceedings from selected seminars and workshops were published in a special dedicated Meeting series on the Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS) publicly available on the official website.

In the final year the project initiated a dedicated expert seminar for presenting the projects results for particularly EU officials, practitioners and civil society. In addition the final conference was mainly structured to facilitate presentation of the final results from the respective workpackages to the academic community and national authorities. Thus the Final conference in Oslo together with the expert seminar held in Brussels with key stakeholders was instrumental in articulating the different research findings from the projects research teams. Several of the projects researchers also took part in ad-hoc lectures relevant to the project. Such an example was the participation in the Institute for European Studies autumn lectures 'Europe under Threat?' (security, migration and integration) in Brussels. The researchers also participated frequently throughout the project at major academic meetings, such as the International Studies Association Conference (ISA) held annually each year attracting a large numbers of scholars, and the European Studies Association annual gatherings. INEX researchers also took part in the Standing Group on International Relations (SGIR) 7th Pan-European International Relations Conference in Stockholm. Another example of this was the participation of researchers in the 2010 - World Congress for Middle Eastern Studies in Barcelona (WOCMES – 2010). Albeit, held in Europe the annual event attracted a large audience and research participation from all over the world, particularly from the Middle East. The INEX contribution was in form of a workshop including several scholars and members of civil society in Europe and the Middle East. Significant participation from representatives from national security authorities and diplomatic representations from outside Europe in several of the other projects workshop and seminars is another example of this broader inclusion of targeted stakeholders.

1.5 Policy briefs, papers and academic publications

The project has produced an extensive amount of policy papers and reports outside of the contractual deliverables. The aim with these reports was to provide policy makers and practitioners with policy recommendations resulting from the research findings. The policy briefs were published in the special INEX policy briefs Series at CEPS. They were made publicly available at the CEPS website and the high frequency of downloads indicated that they were received with a vast interest. The

project also published papers on the official INEX website in the INEX paper series. Finally a special series dedicated to the proceedings of a selection of INEX events were published in CEPS special Meeting series available on CEPS website. All of these papers were printed and made available at public events, workshops and conferences.

Throughout the project several related book chapters were also accepted for publication and three books, authored, co- authored or edited by researchers in the INEX project were successfully published (see list A1). It needs to be clarified that some of these publications were not officially sponsored by the project, but sought funding elsewhere. However, their close connection to the INEX research as a basis for inspiration hence makes it necessary to list them as related publications.

2. Future dissemination and use of knowledge

It is important to realize that the project indeed have an afterlife. The research findings and results from the project have shown that there is a myriad of unanswered questions left to be answered, and the theme's and research questions addressed in the project needs to be debated further. Therefore the project will be engaged in conducting further activities for promoting the findings from the project. The following measures are planned so far in the near future to follow up the project:

- The INEX Website will first of all be kept as an information source of the activities performed in the project. The website will also continue to receive and publish papers online related to the project. This will be done as mentioned above in the online INEX paper series. These papers shall not be restricted to a particular subject or theme inherent in the project, but they shall mainly address important features unexplored or issues that are related to the research undertaken. The papers will have an important function acting as spin-off from the research undertaken. The purpose is not only to refine the research, but mainly to expand the knowledge of related issues and to elaborate with new ideas generated from the INEX research findings. The website will be customized to reflect the current status of the project as finished. Reports and final results will be clearly communicated through relevant news items and reports.
- Future academic articles and reports will be produced based on the findings in INEX deliverables and reports. This is an important component in the continuation of communicating the results from the research undertaken. The members of the project will aim to target specifically relevant well recognized academic journals for the future publications with basis in the INEX research.
- Public engagement and presentations will continue to engage INEX researchers. The results shall continue to be conveyed through lectures and participation in relevant conferences. One such example is the participation of INEX project leader J. Peter Burgess in a panel on Border security, chaired by FRONTEX head of Capacity Building and INEX advisory Board member Erik Berglund, at the Security Research Conference in Warsaw on 20 and 21 September 2011.
- The INEX final conference was video recorded and at the time of writing this video is being edited by the communications team at PRIO. When the editing work is completed the video will be accessible on the PRIO website and on the INEX website. The video will also be posted by on PRIO's particular page on *YouTube*. The video is a record of selected presentations taking place at the INEX final conference in Oslo on 28-29 March 2011 and is a way for the project to extend the message from the project to a wider audience.

•	Future projects – with its rich academic output and important research findings the INEX project have established a solid base for future reflection. This shall be taken into account in future work in developing new projects and project ideas. The rich network established during the INEX project will be of outmost importance in this regard.

3. Use and dissemination of foreground

The project dissemination activities mainly centred on the project deliverables and the project workshops, seminars and conferences (as described above), but the project also managed to produce an important number of papers, policy papers and several related scientific publications was performed. Below follows four separate lists containing the dissemination measures from the project. The first list displays the scientific publications related to the project published throughout its duration (A1). The list contains both books and peer reviewed articles either single authored or coauthored, including contribution from project members. The second list contains all the scientific deliverables produced in the project in the different workpackages (A2). The third list is a complete overview of all other publications produced within the project (A3). The list contains papers published in the INEX paper series, the INEX policy brief series and proceeding in the INEX meeting series. The final list (A4) contains the workshops, conferences, public meetings and seminars performed in the project. Several of these meetings were scheduled in the projects deliverables list or set out in the initial plan for dissemination (INEX Description of Work- Annex I). Others were conducted ad-hoc and in conjunction with other initiatives. The final list also includes project information material and activities such as information leaflet and overview document, and the project website (see Appendices), which upon its launch was documented in Deliverable D.7.1.

3.1 List of scientific publications

TEMPLATE A1: LIST OF SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS

Comment	Title	Main author	Title of the periodical or the series	Publisher	Place of publication	Year of publication	Permanent identifiers ⁵ (if available)	Is/Will open access ⁶ provided to this publication?
N/A	The Ethical Subject of Security: Geopolitical reason and the threat against Europe.	J. Peter Burgess	Routledge Security Studies	Routledge	London	2011	http://www.routledge.com/books/details/9780415499811/	no
N/A	"The Area of freedom, Security and Justice Ten Years On: Successes and Future Challenges under the Stockholm Programme"	Elspeth Guild, Sergio Carrera, Alejandro Eggenschwiler	Justice & Home Affairs	Centre for Eruopean Policy Studies	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/book/area-freedom-security-and- justice-ten-years-successes-and-future-challenges- under-stockholm-pro	Yes
Not officially sponsored by the project ⁷ .	Ethics and Security' culminated in a peer-reviewed volume: Ethics and Security,	(Eds) Monica den Boer & Emile Kolthoff	Edition 1	Eleven International Publishing	The Hague	2010	http://preview.elevenpub.com/eleven/catalogus/ethics- and-security-1#	no
Book chapter	Border and Security: the Different Logics of Surveillance in Europe	Didier Bigo, Philippe	In (eds) Andrea Rea,	Presses de l'ULB	Brussels	Forthcoming 2011	N/A	No

⁵ A permanent identifier should be a persistent link to the published version full text if open access or abstract if article is pay per view) or to the final manuscript accepted for publication (link to article in repository).

⁶Open Access is defined as free of charge access for anyone via Internet. Please answer "yes" if the open access to the publication is already established and also if the embargo period for open access is not yet over but you intend to establish open access afterwards.

⁷ Funded through other means. However, their close connection to the INEX research as a basis for inspiration hence makes it necessary to list them as related publications

		Bonditti, Julien Jeandesboz, Francesco Ragazzi	Saskia Bonjour and Dirk Jacobs The others in Europe: Legal and Social Categorization in Context					
e-book	'Frontières, territoire, sécurité, souveraineté'	Didier Bigo	CERISCOPE series	Sciences Po, Paris		2011	http://ceriscope.sciences-po.fr/content/part1/frontieres- territoire-securite-souverainete	Yes
Book chapter	'Beyond the Tartar Steppe: EUROSUR and the Ethics of European Border Control Practices'	Julien Jeandesboz	In (Eds) J. Peter Burgess and Serge Gutwirth, Europe under threat? Security, migration and integration	VUB Press	Brussels	forthcoming in 2011;	N/A	No
Book chapter	'Security, surveillance and democracy'	Didier Bigo	In (eds) Ball, K, Haggerty, K and Lyon, D, The International Handbook of Surveillance Studies	Routledge	London	2011	http://www.routledge.com/books/details/9780415588836/	No
Book chapter	'Globalisation and security'	Dider Bigo	In (ed) Kate Nash, International Handbook of Globalization	Routledge	London	2011	N/A	No

3.2 List of Scientific deliverables

	TEMPLATE A2: LIST OF SCIENTIFIC DEL	TEMPLATE A2: LIST OF SCIENTIFIC DELIVERABLES											
Del.No	Title	WP No	Lead beneficiary	Delivery date	Is/Will open access be provided to this publication?								
D.1.1.	State-of-art review of scholarly research on security technologies and their relation to the societies which they serve.	1	3	M8	No ⁸								
D.1.2.	Catalogue of security and border technologies at use in Europe today.	1	3	M14	No								
D.1.3.	Documentation and analysis of the impact of new security technologies on European citizens.	1	3	M17	No								
D.1.4.	Workshop on imbedded value assumptions and ethical consequences of security technologies	1	3	M32	No								
D.1.5.	Working Paper of the Lifting of the Internal Borders in an Enlarged EU: The Relationship between the Schengen Information System and the EC Rule of Law.	1	9	M36	Yes								
D.1.6.	Working paper analyzing the transformation of gendered security values as a result of the evolution of security technologies.	1	1	M33	Yes								
D.1.7.	Quali-quantitative methodology for analysing the security continuum: relevance and prospects ⁹	1	3	M36	No								
D.2.1.	State-of-the-art report on the current scholarship on the law-security nexus in Europe.	2	4	M7	Yes								
D.2.2.	Analysis of the value dimensions of European law relevant to current and anticipated challenges of the internal/external security continuum.	2	4	M15	Yes								
D.2.3.	Workshop on legal ethics and the internal/external security continuum.	2	4	M22	yes								
D.2.4.	Policy Recommendation Report: The Intersection between the Schengen Information System and the EC Rule of Law: Legal Guarantees and the ECJ	2	4/9	M36	Yes								
D.2.5.	Recommendation Report: Situating Privacy and Data Protection in a Moving European Security	2	2	M36	Yes								

⁸ It was decided during the kick-off meeting that the request from Workpackage 1 not to have selected deliverables publicly available due to desire of having the material published as articles and book-chapters in the future, would be respected.

⁹ Extra deliverable (not specified in the Annex I)

	Continuum ¹⁰				
D.3.1.	State-of-the-art literature review on the ethics research and knowledge among security professionals.	3	5	M8	Yes
D.3.2.	The Ethical Challenges of Security Privatization	4	1	M19	Yes
D.3.3.	Report on the ethical issues raised by the increasing role of private security professionals in security analysis and provision.	3	5	M12	Yes
D.3.4.	Workshop on value dilemmas in security policing	3	5	M25	Yes
D.3.5.	Policy recommendation report on implications of the changing relation between the legal dilemmas of internal/external security.	3	5	M31	Yes
D.4.1.	State-of-art review of scholarly research on the CFSP/EDSP and the shifting nature of the external border.	4	1	M10	Yes
D.4.2.	Systematic report on the value premises and human, ethical consequences of the CFSP/EDSP in the changing environment of border security.	4	2	M16	Yes
D.4.3.	Workshop on ethical issues of CFSP/EDSP in the European borderlands	4	2	M23	Yes
D.4.4.	Policy recommendations report on managing the changing relationship between CFSP/EDSP and the jurisdiction and activities of FRONTEX.	4	2	M32	Yes
D.5.1.	State-of-the-art on EU-ENP security initiatives, premises and consequences in Ukraine, Moldova, Belarus	5	6	M13	Yes
D.5.2.	Workshop on implications of the internal/external security continuum for the countries of the Eastern neighbourhood.	5	6	M23	Yes
D.5.3.	A comprehensive evaluation report of the implications of the ENP implementation in the three Easter neighbourhood countries.	5	6	M34	Yes
D.5.4.	Recommendation report on the consequences of the ENP in terms of its value-based and ethical implications for the Eastern neighbourhood.	5	6	M36	Yes
D.5.5.	Fact-finding workshop with partners, contacts. Mapping the terrain of research in the case countries.	5	6	M18	yes
D.5.6.	Fact-finding workshop (M26) first feedback to partners, researchers and political class from the case countries.	5	6	M33	Yes
D.5.7.	Fact-finding workshop (M32) Second feedback and control to partners, researchers and political class from the case countries.	5	6	M34	Yes

_

¹⁰ Extra deliverable (not specified in the Annex I)

D.5.8.	INEX-FRONTEX workshop I	5	6	M12	Yes
D.5.9.	INEX-FRONTEX workshop II	5	6	M24	Yes
D.5.10.	INEX-FRONTEX workshop III	5	6	M33	Yes
D.6.1.	State-of-the-art on EU-ENP security initiatives, premises and consequences in Morocco, Algeria, Egypt.	6	7/8	M21	Yes
D.6.2.	Workshop on implications of the internal/external security continuum for the countries of the Mediterranean neighbourhood.	6	7/8	M28	Yes
D.6.3.	A comprehensive evaluation report of the implications of the ENP implementation in the three Mediterranean countries.	6	7/8	M28	Yes
D.6.4.	Recommendation report on the consequences of the ENP in terms of its value-based and ethical implications.	6	7/8	M36	Yes
D.6.5.	Fact-finding workshop (M10) with partners, contacts, elites. Mapping the terrain of research in the case countries.	6	7/8	M10	Yes
D.6.6	Fact-finding workshop (M16) first feedback to partners, researchers and political elites from the case countries.	6	7/8	M16	Yes
D.6.7	Fact-finding workshop (M20) Second feedback and control to partners, researchers and political elites from the case countries.	6	7/8	M20	Yes
D.7.1.	Webpage launch and management	7	1	M1	Yes
D.7.2.	First Mid-term Progress Report	7	1	M14	Yes
D.7.3.	Second Mid-term Progress Report	7	1	M26	Yes
D.7.4.	Final Report ¹¹	7	1	M38	Yes
D.7.5.	Final Plan for Use and Dissemination	7	1	M38	Yes
D.7.6.	Periodic report year 3	7	1	M38	Yes
D.8.1.	Kick-off meeting	8	9	M2	Yes
D.8.2.	Midterm conference	8	9	M12	Yes
D.8.1.	Concluding (Final) Conference	8	1/9	M36	Yes

_

¹¹ Accounts to this report

3.3. List of other publications

TEMPLATE A3: LIST OF OTHER PUBLICATIONS

NO.	Title	Main author	Title of series	Number, date or frequency	Publisher	Place of publication	Year of publication	Permanent identifiers	Is/Will open access ¹² provided to this publication?
1	Border Security, Technology and the Stockholm Programme	Didier Bigo & Julien Jeandesboz	INEX Policy Briefs	(1) 16/11/2009	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2009	http://www.ceps.eu/book/border- security-technology-and- stockholm-programme	yes
2	Security Ethics: A Thin Blue- Green-Grey Line	Jelle van Buuren	INEX Policy Briefs	(2) 26/11/2009	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2009	http://www.ceps.eu/book/security- ethics-thin-blue-green-grey-line	yes
3	EU Security Policies towards the Mediterranean: The Ethical Dimension – what do we know and what else should we know?	Pinar Bilgin	INEX Policy Briefs	(3) 01/12/2009	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2009	http://www.ceps.eu/book/eu- security-policies-towards- mediterranean-ethical-dimension- %E2%80%93-what-do-we-know- and-what-else-sh	yes
4	The Union for the Mediterranean: What has it changed and what can be changed in the domain of security?	Eduard Soler i Lecha & Irene García	INEX Policy Briefs	(4) 14/12/2009	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2009	http://www.ceps.eu/book/union- mediterranean-what-has-it- changed-and-what-can-be- changed-domain-security	yes
5	The EU and the European Security Industry: Questioning	Didier Bigo & Julien	INEX Policy	(5) 26/02/2010	Centre for European	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/book/eu-and- european-security-industry-	yes

¹² Open Access is defined as free of charge access for anyone via Internet. Please answer "yes" if the open access to the publication is already established and also if the embargo period for open access is not yet over but you intend to establish open access afterwards.

	the 'Public-Private Dialogue'	Jeandesboz	Briefs		Policy Studies (CEPS)			questioning-%E2%80%98public- private-dialogue%E2%80%99	
6	Security as a commodity: The ethical dilemmas of private security services	Jelle van Buuren	INEX Policy Briefs	(6) 08/03/2010	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/book/security- commodity-ethical-dilemmas- private-security-services	yes
7	The promotion of human security in EU security policies	Inger Helene Sira and Jonas Gräns	INEX Policy Briefs	(7) 09/03/2010	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/book/promotion -human-security-eu-security- policies	yes
8	Global Data Transfers: The Human Rights Implications	Elspeth Guild	INEX Policy Briefs	(8) 27/05/2010 11	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/book/global- data-transfers-human-rights- implications	yes
9	Huber, Marper and Others: Throwing new light on the shadows of suspicion	Gloria González Fuster, Paul De Hert, Erika Ellyne and Serge Gutwirth	INEX Policy Briefs	(9) 07/06/2010	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/book/huber- marper-and-others-throwing-new- light-shadows-suspicion	yes
10	Profiling in the European Union: A high-risk practice	Gloria González Fuster, Serge Gutwirth and Erika Ellyne	INEX Policy Briefs	(10) 14/06/2010	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/book/profiling- european-union-high-risk-practice	yes
11	Consequences of European Security Practices in the Southern Mediterranean and Policy Implications for the EU	Pinar Bilgin and Ali Bilgiç	INEX Policy Briefs	(11) 20/01/2011	Centre for European Policy Studies (CEPS)	Brussels	2011	http://www.ceps.eu/book/conseque nces-european-security-practices- southern-mediterranean-and- policy-implications-eu	yes
1	Observations of the effects of the EU Border Security Policies towards Algeria and the threat of Terrorism	Espen Vågran	INEX Paper	31/03/2010	PRIO	-	2010	http://www.inexproject.eu/index.ph p?option=com_docman&task=cat view&gid=57&&Itemid=72	yes
2	Intelligent Human Filtering at Europe`s External Borders	Jens Hjelmstad, Erling Jensen &	INEX Paper	31/05/2010	PRIO	-	2010	http://www.inexproject.eu/index.ph p?option=com_docman&task=cat view&gid=57&&Itemid=72	yes

		Espen Vågran							
3	Fishers of Men? The Interception of Migrants in the Mediterranean Sea and Their Forced Return to Libya	Matteo Tondini	INEX Paper	10/08/2010	PRIO	-	2010	http://www.inexproject.eu/index.ph p?option=com_docman&task=cat_ view&gid=57&&Itemid=72	yes
1	Proceedings: Researching the EU Borderlands	Massimo Merlino	INEX Meeting series	25/02/2010	CEPS	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/content/procee dings-selection-inex-meetings	yes
2	Proceedings: New perspectives in border security? The US under Obama and the EU under the Stockholm Programme	Jacopo Marcomeni	INEX Meeting series	28/05/2010	CEPS	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/content/proceedings-selection-inex-meetings	Yes
3	Proceedings: Data Transfers in the New AFSJ: Go with the Flow? Converging and Conflicting Ethical Values in the External/Internal Security Continuum in Europe	Erika Ellyne, Rocco Bellanova and Gloria González Fuster	INEX Meeting series	10/05/2010	CEPS/VUB	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/content/proceedings-selection-inex-meetings	Yes
4	Proceedings: The Future of the EU's Integrated Border Management Strategy	Peter Hobbing	INEX Meeting series	06/09/2010	CEPS	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/content/proceedings-selection-inex-meetings	Yes
5	Proceedings: Data Protection, Borders and Criminal Justice: Mobile Priorities?	Gloria González Fuster	INEX Meeting series	29/06/2010	CEPS/VUB	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/content/procee dings-selection-inex-meetings	Yes
6	Proceedings: Moving the Debate Forward on the Future EU Border Policy: The Role of Customs and Modern Technology	Sergio Carrera and Peter Hobbing	INEX Meeting series	29/09/2010	CEPS	Brussels	2010	http://www.ceps.eu/content/procee dings-selection-inex-meetings	Yes
7	Proceedings: Body Scanners	Gloria González Fuster and Rocco Bellanova	INEX Meeting series	27/01/2011	CEPS / VUB	Brussels	2011	http://www.ceps.eu/content/procee dings-selection-inex-meetings	Yes
N/A	'The Difficult Road to the Schengen Information System II: The legacy of 'laboratories' and the cost for fundamental rights and the rule of law'	Joanna Parkin	CEPS Series 'Liberty and Security	Fortchoming 2011	CEPS	Brussels	Fortcoming 2011		Yes

		in Europe'					
Written Evidence: Inquiry into the EU Internal Security Strategy	Didier Bigo	UK House of Lords Select Committe e on the European Union (Sub- Committe e on Home Affairs) for its	02/12/2010	CETC	London	2010	Yes

3.4 List of dissemination activities

	TEMPLATE A4: LIST OF DISSEMINATION ACTIVITIES (WORKSHOPS/CONFERENCES/SEMINARS, WEBSITE)									
NO.	Type of activities ¹³	Main leader	Title	Date	Place	Type of audience ¹⁴	Size of audience	Countries addressed		
N/A	Workshop	CETC ¹⁵	Prevention, Pre-emption and Precaution: Monitoring the Future in Security and Life Sciences; Governmentality of Unease, Freedom and Biopolitics,	07-08/04/2010	Paris	Academic, Civil Society and students	50	EU members states, North America		

¹⁵ Organised jointly with the FP6 integrated programme CHALLENGE and the London School of Economics laboratory BIOS.

N/A	Seminar	CETC ¹⁶	Markets and Technologies of Surveillance	27/05/2009	Paris	Academic, Civil Society and students	10	EU Member states
N/A	Seminar	CETC ¹⁷	"Dataveillance" and Databases	15/010/2009	Paris	Academic, Civil Society and students	15	EU members states, USA, Canada
N/A	Seminar	CETC ¹⁸	The Privatisation and Commodification of Security	20/10/2009	Paris	Academic, Civil Society and students	20	EU members states, USA, Canada
N/A	Seminar	CETC ¹⁹	Risk management and anti-terrorism financing	05/11/2009	Paris	Academic, Civil Society and students	10	EU members states, North America
N/A	Workshop	CETC ²⁰	Mobility, Ethics, Intelligence and the Rule of Law	26/03/2010	Paris	Academic, Civil Society and students	25	EU member states and ENP countries
D.1.4	Workshop	CETC	European Boundaries of Humanity (Workshop on imbedded value assumptions and ethical)	29/10/2010	Paris	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	50	EU member states and ENP countries
D.2.3	Workshop	VUB / CEPS	Data Transfers in the New AFSJ: Go with the Flow? (Workshop on Legal Ethics and the Internal/External Security Continuum	10/05/2010	Brussels	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	35	EU member states and ENP countries
D.3.4.	Workshop / Seminar	VUA	'Ethics in a Military Context' / Value Dilemmas in Security Policing	15-16.04.2010	Amsterdam	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	70	EU member states and ENP countries
D.4.3	Workshop	VUB (IES)	Ethical issues of CFSP/EDSP in the European borderlands	11/02/2010	Brussels	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	10	EU member states and ENP countries, (Bosnia

Organised jointly with the CERI/Science Po research group SUERTE.
 Organised jointly with the CERI/Science Po research group SUERTE.
 Organised jointly with the CERI/Science Po research group SUERTE.
 Organised jointly with the CERI/Science Po research group SUERTE.
 Organised jointly with the CERI/Science Po research group SUERTE.
 Organised jointly with the CERI/Science Po research group SUERTE and the EU-Canada Programme (funded by DG Relex).

								Herzegovina)
D.5.2/D.6.7	Workshop	Collegium Civitas / CIDOB & Bilkent University	Workshop on implications of the internal / external security continuum for Workshop the countries of the Eastern neighbourhood / Fact-finding workshop with partners and contacts – Mapping the terrain of research in the case countries	19/03/2010	Paris	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	26	EU member states and ENP countries
D.5.5	Workshop	Collegium Civitas	Fact-finding workshop with partners and contacts – Mapping the terrain of research in the case countries	19/03/2010	Paris	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	8	EU member states and ENP countries
D.5.6	Workshop	Collegium Civitas	Migration and Visa issues at the EU's Eastern Borders	25/11/2010	Warsaw	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	20	EU member states and ENP countries
D.5.7	Workshop	Collegium Civitas	EU Internal and External Security Policies in the Eastern Neighbourhood	15/12/2010	Warsaw	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	46	EU member states and ENP countries
D.5.8	Workshop	Collegium Civitas	Researching the EU borderlands	25/02/2009	Warsaw	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	15	EU member states and ENP countries
D.5.9	Workshop	Collegium Civitas	Mobility and Security at the EU's Eastern Borders	17/05/2010	Warsaw	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	18	EU member states and ENP countries
D.5.10	Workshop	Collegium Civitas	Migration and Visa issues at the EU's Eastern Borders II - New Issues, New Initiatives	26/11/2010	Warsaw	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	20	EU member states and ENP countries
D.6.2	Workshop	CIDOB/Bilkent University	Implications of the internal/external security continuum for the countries of	21/07/2010	Barcelona	Academic, civil society	15	EU member states and

			the Mediterranean			and practitioners		ENP countries, Middle Eastern countries
D.6.5	Workshop	Bilkent University/ CIDOB	Fact-finding workshop – with partners and contacts – Mapping the terrain in the case countries	23/24/01/2009	Ankara	Academic, policymakers, civil society, industry and practitioners	16	EU member states and ENP countries
D.6.6	Workshop	Bilkent University/ CIDOB	Fact-finding workshop – with partners and contacts – Mapping the terrain in the case countries	20/06/2009	Ankara	Academic, civil society, industry and practitioners	15	EU member states and ENP countries
D.8.2	Conference	CEPS	Mid-Term conference: Exploring the internal/external security continuum	29/10/2009	Brussels	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	60	EU member states and ENP countries
D.8.4	Conference	PRIO	Final Conference: `Ethics at the border: The European internal/external security continuum´	28/29/03/2011	Oslo	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	60	EU Member states, Norway, and ENP countries
N/A	Seminar	CEPS	Book Launch: The Area of Freedom, Security and Justice Ten Years on: Successes and Future Challenges under the Stockholm Programme	23/06/2010	Brussels	Academic, policymakers, civil society and practitioners	75	EU member states and ENP countries
1	Lunchtime briefing/Workshop	CEPS	Lunchtime briefing: New Perspectives in Border Security? The US under Obama and the EU under the Stockholm Programme	28/04/2010	Brussels	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias	75	EU member states and ENP countries

2	Lunchtime briefing/Workshop	CEPS	Lunchtime briefings: Data Protection, Borders and Criminal Justice:	29/06/2010	Brussels	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias	55	EU member states and ENP countries
3	Lunchtime briefing/Workshop	CEPS	Lunchtime briefing: The Future of the EU's Integrated Border	06/09/2010	Brussels	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias	100	EU member states and ENP countries
4	Lunchtime briefing/Workshop	CEPS	Expert Seminar: Moving the debate forward on the future EU border policy: The role of custom & modern technology	29/11/2010	Brussels	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias	35	EU member states and ENP countries
5	Lunchtime briefing/Workshop	CEPS	Round table: Body scanners	27/01/2011	Brussels	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias	50	EU member states and ENP countries, North America
6	Expert seminar	CEPS	Expert Seminar: The Reframing of the Insecurity Continuum in EU's Internal / External Security Policies	17/02/2011	Brussels	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias	51	EU member states and ENP countries
N/A	INEX Project Leaflet	PRIO	N/A	01/04/2008	Oslo	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias, students	N/A	N/A
N/A	INEX Project Overview	PRIO	NIA	01/10/2009	Oslo	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias, students	N/A	N/A

N/A	INEX Project Website ²¹ www.inexproject.eu	PRIO	N/A	07/03/2008 (Launched)	N/A	Industry, Civil society, Policy makers, Medias, students	N/A	N/A	
-----	---	------	-----	--------------------------	-----	---	-----	-----	--

²¹ Webmaster Francesco Ragazzi

4. Report on societal implications

Replies to the following questions will assist the Commission to obtain statistics and indicators on societal and socio-economic issues addressed by projects. The questions are arranged in a number of key themes. As well as producing certain statistics, the replies will also help identify those projects that have shown a real engagement with wider societal issues, and thereby identify interesting approaches to these issues and best practices. The replies for individual projects will not be made public.

A	General Information (completed a	utomatically when Grant Agreement number	is entered.
Gra	nt Agreement Number:	218265	
Title	of Project:	INEX	
N.T.	1 True CC 11 4	INEX	
Nam	e and Title of Coordinator:	Research Professor, J. Peter Burgess	
В	Ethics		
1. D	id your project undergo an Ethics Review (and	/or Screening)?	
Spec	Review/Screening Requirements in the f	rogress of compliance with the relevant Ethics rame of the periodic/final project reports?	OYes 1No
desc	ribed in the Period/Final Project Reports under the	e Section 3.2.2 'Work Progress and Achievements'	
2.	• •	involved any of the following issues (tick	NO
RES	EARCH ON HUMANS		
•	Did the project involve children?		
•	Did the project involve patients?		
•	Did the project involve persons not able to give of	consent?	
•	Did the project involve adult healthy volunteers?		
•	Did the project involve Human genetic material?		
•	Did the project involve Human biological sample	es?	
•	Did the project involve Human data collection?		
RES	EARCH ON HUMAN EMBRYO/FOETUS		
•	Did the project involve Human Embryos?		
•	Did the project involve Human Foetal Tissue / C	ells?	
•	Did the project involve Human Embryonic Stem	Cells (hESCs)?	
•	Did the project on human Embryonic Stem Cells	involve cells in culture?	
•	Did the project on human Embryonic Stem Cells	involve the derivation of cells from Embryos?	
Pri	VACY		
	• Did the project involve processing of gene	etic information or personal data (eg. health, sexual	
	lifestyle, ethnicity, political opinion, religious	s or philosophical conviction)?	
	 Did the project involve tracking the location 	or observation of people?	
RES	EARCH ON ANIMALS		
	Did the project involve research on animals?		
	 Were those animals transgenic small laborate 		
	 Were those animals transgenic farm animals?)	
	Were those animals cloned farm animals?		

Were those animals non-human primates?						
RESEARCH INVOLVING DEVELOPING COUNTRIES						
Did the project involve the use of local resources (genetic, animal, plant etc)?						
Was the project of benefit to local community (capacity building, access to healthcare, education						
etc)?						
DUAL USE						
Research having direct military use	0 Yes 1 No					
Research having the potential for terrorist abuse						
C Wantsana Statistics						

C Workforce Statistics

3. Workforce statistics for the project: Please indicate in the table below the number of people who worked on the project (on a headcount basis).

Type of Position	Number of Women	Number of Men
Scientific Coordinator		1
Work package leaders	3	4
Experienced researchers (i.e. PhD holders)	7	8
PhD Students	0	2
Other	3	8

Other	3		0	
4.	How many additional researchers (in companies ar recruited specifically for this project?	nd universities) were		
Of w	nich, indicate the number of men:			N/A

D	Gender Aspects									
5.	Did you carry out specific Gender E	quality A	ction	ns under	the p	roject?	O X	Yes No		
6.	Which of the following actions did yo	ou carry o	out a	nd how	effecti	ve were the	y?			
					at all ective	Ver effe	y ctive			
	Set targets to achieve a gender bala	Design and implement an equal opportunity policy The targets to achieve a gender balance in the workforce Organise conferences and workshops on gender Actions to improve work-life balance O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O								
	O Other:									
7.	Was there a gender dimension associated with the research content – i.e. wherever people were the focus of the research as, for example, consumers, users, patients or in trials, was the issue of gender considered and addressed?									
	X Yes- please specifyO No	Yes- please specify Included in seminars and partic deliverable (D.1.6)				s and partic	ular			
E	Synergies with Science Education									
8.	Did your project involve working with students and/or school pupils (e.g. open days, participation in science festivals and events, prizes/competitions or joint projects)?									
	X Yes- please specify					ed to take pa	ırt			
	O No	O No in Workshops and conferences.								
9.	Did the project generate any science booklets, DVDs)?	education	n ma	terial (e.	g. kits	, websites,	explan	atory		
	X Yes- please specify					nformation al conferenc	20			
	O No			ect leafle						
F	Interdisciplinarity									
10.	Which disciplines (see list below) are involved in your project? 5 Main discipline ²² : 6 Associated discipline ²² : 2 Associated discipline ²² :									
G	Engaging with Civil society and	policy r	mak	ers						
11a	Did your project engage with socie community? (if 'No', go to Question 14)	etal actors	s bey	ond the	resear	ch	X O	Yes No		
11b										

 $^{^{\}rm 22}$ Insert number from list below (Frascati Manual).

X Yes, in communicating /disseminating / using the results of the project										
11c	organise	the dialogue wi	oject involve actors whos th citizens and organised communication company	civil	society (e.g.	O X	Yes No			
12.	12. Did you engage with government / public bodies or policy makers (including international organisations)									
	O No									
	X	· ·	ne research agenda							
	X	Yes - in implementing the research agenda								
	X	Yes, in communic	eating /disseminating / using the	results	of the project					
13a	Will the project generate outputs (expertise or scientific advice) which could be used by policy makers? X Yes – as a primary objective (please indicate areas below- multiple answers possible) O Yes – as a secondary objective (please indicate areas below - multiple answer possible) O No									
13b	If Yes, in	which fields?								
Budge Compo Consu Cultur Custo Develo Monet Educa	visual and Medi et etition mers <u>re</u>	ic and	Energy Enlargement Enterprise Environment External Relations External Trade Fisheries and Maritime Affairs Food Safety Foreign and Security Policy Fraud Humanitarian aid		Human rights Information Society Institutional affairs Internal Market Justice, freedom and security Public Health Regional Policy Research and Innovation Space Taxation Transport					

13c If Yes, at which level?						
X Local / regional levels						
X National level						
X European level						
X International level						
H Use and dissemination						
14. How many Articles were published/accepte peer-reviewed journals?						
To how many of these is open access ²³ provided?	N/A	N/A				
How many of these are published in open access journ	N/A	N/A				
How many of these are published in open repositories	N/A					
To how many of these is open access not provided?					N/A	
Please check all applicable reasons for not providing of	open ac	cess:		N/A		
 □ publisher's licensing agreement would not permit publ □ no suitable repository available □ no suitable open access journal available □ no funds available to publish in an open access journal □ lack of time and resources □ lack of information on open access □ other²⁴: 						
15. How many new patent applications ('prior ("Technologically unique": multiple applications for the jurisdictions should be counted as just one application	e?	N/A				
16. Indicate how many of the following Intellectual Trademark						
Property Rights were applied for (give number in each box). Registered desi Other						
17. How many spin-off companies were created result of the project?		N/A				
Indicate the approximate number	Indicate the approximate number of additional jobs in these companies:					
 18. Please indicate whether your project has a with the situation before your project: Increase in employment, or Safeguard employment, or Decrease in employment, Difficult to estimate / not possible to quantify 	enterp	•				
19. For your project partnership please estimated resulting directly from your participation in one person working fulltime for a year) jobs:	E =	Indicate figure:				

Open Access is defined as free of charge access for anyone via Internet. For instance: classification for security project.

Difficult to estimate / not possible to quantify					x			
I	Media and Communication to the general public							
20. As part of the project, were any of the beneficiaries professionals in communication or media relations?								
		X	Yes	0	No			
21. As part of the project, have any beneficiaries received professional media / communication training / advice to improve communication with the general public?								
		0	Yes	X	No			
Which of the following have been used to communicate information about your project to the general public, or have resulted from your project?								
		Press l	Release		Σ	X	Coverage in specialist press	
	X	Media	briefing			ב	Coverage in general (non-special	list) press
		TV co	verage / report				Coverage in national press	
		Radio	coverage / report			_	Coverage in international press	
	X	Broch	ures /posters / flye	rs	Y	X	Website for the general public / i	nternet
	X	DVD /	Film /Multimedia		У	X	Event targeting general public (for exhibition, science café)	estival, conference,
23 In which languages are the information products for the general public produced?								
			age of the coordin	ator	У	X	English	

Question F-10: Classification of Scientific Disciplines according to the Frascati Manual 2002 (Proposed Standard Practice for Surveys on Research and Experimental Development, OECD 2002):

FIELDS OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

1. NATURAL SCIENCES

- 1.1 Mathematics and computer sciences [mathematics and other allied fields: computer sciences and other allied subjects (software development only; hardware development should be classified in the engineering fields)]
- 1.2 Physical sciences (astronomy and space sciences, physics and other allied subjects)
- 1.3 Chemical sciences (chemistry, other allied subjects)
- Earth and related environmental sciences (geology, geophysics, mineralogy, physical geography and other geosciences, meteorology and other atmospheric sciences including climatic research, oceanography, vulcanology, palaeoecology, other allied sciences)
- 1.5 Biological sciences (biology, botany, bacteriology, microbiology, zoology, entomology, genetics, biochemistry, biophysics, other allied sciences, excluding clinical and veterinary sciences)

2 ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

- 2.1 Civil engineering (architecture engineering, building science and engineering, construction engineering, municipal and structural engineering and other allied subjects)
- 2.2 Electrical engineering, electronics [electrical engineering, electronics, communication engineering and systems, computer engineering (hardware only) and other allied subjects]
- 2.3. Other engineering sciences (such as chemical, aeronautical and space, mechanical, metallurgical and materials engineering, and their specialised subdivisions; forest products; applied sciences such as

geodesy, industrial chemistry, etc.; the science and technology of food production; specialised technologies of interdisciplinary fields, e.g. systems analysis, metallurgy, mining, textile technology and other applied subjects)

3. MEDICAL SCIENCES

- 3.1 Basic medicine (anatomy, cytology, physiology, genetics, pharmacy, pharmacology, toxicology, immunology and immunohaematology, clinical chemistry, clinical microbiology, pathology)
- 3.2 Clinical medicine (anaesthesiology, paediatrics, obstetrics and gynaecology, internal medicine, surgery, dentistry, neurology, psychiatry, radiology, therapeutics, otorhinolaryngology, ophthalmology)
- 3.3 Health sciences (public health services, social medicine, hygiene, nursing, epidemiology)

4. AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES

- 4.1 Agriculture, forestry, fisheries and allied sciences (agronomy, animal husbandry, fisheries, forestry, horticulture, other allied subjects)
- 4.2 Veterinary medicine

5. SOCIAL SCIENCES

- 5.1 Psychology
- 5.2 Economics
- 5.3 Educational sciences (education and training and other allied subjects)
- 5.4 Other social sciences [anthropology (social and cultural) and ethnology, demography, geography (human, economic and social), town and country planning, management, law, linguistics, political sciences, sociology, organisation and methods, miscellaneous social sciences and interdisciplinary, methodological and historical S1T activities relating to subjects in this group. Physical anthropology, physical geography and psychophysiology should normally be classified with the natural sciences].

6. Humanities

- 6.1 History (history, prehistory and history, together with auxiliary historical disciplines such as archaeology, numismatics, palaeography, genealogy, etc.)
- 6.2 Languages and literature (ancient and modern)
- 6.3 Other humanities [philosophy (including the history of science and technology) arts, history of art, art criticism, painting, sculpture, musicology, dramatic art excluding artistic "research" of any kind, religion, theology, other fields and subjects pertaining to the humanities, methodological, historical and other S1T activities relating to the subjects in this group]

ANNEX I – List of INEX Beneficiaries



Beneficiary No	Beneficiary Name	Contact person
1	International Peace Research Institute,	J. Peter Burgess (peter@prio.no)
1	Oslo (PRIO)	(Coordinator)
2	Ericsson Security Systems AS (ERIC)	Jens Hjelmstad
2		(jens.hjelmstad@ericsson.com),
	Centre d'études sur les conflits	Didier Bigo
3	(CETC)	(didier.bigo.conflits@gmail.com)
		(didici.orgo.commis@gman.com)
4	Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB)	Serge Gutwirth
T	viije Oliiveisiteit Biussei (VOB)	(serge.gutwirth@vub.ac.be)
5	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (VUA)	Monica den Boer
3		(m.g.w.den.boer@vu.nl)
6	Centre for Security Studies, Collegium	Marcin Zaborowski
U	Civitas (CC)	(<u>zaborowski@pism.pl</u>)
	Centro de Investigación de Relaciones	
7	Internacionales y Desarrollo (CIDOB)	Eduard Soler (<u>esoler@cidob.org</u>)
8	Bilkent University (BU)	Pinar Bilgin
O		(pbilgin@bilkent.edu.tr)
9	Centre for European Policy Studies	Elspeth Guild
7	(CEPS)	(Elspeth.guild@conflits.org)

ANNEX II – INEX logo








Converging and conflicting ethical values in the internal/external security continuum in Europe

European Commission, 7th Framework Programme

ANNEX III – INEX Website²⁵





²⁵ Also attached to the final report are the detailed statistics and analytics (Dashboard report)



Project Overview

The aim of INEX is to contribute to existing understandings of European security through an innovative analysis of the value based premises and ethical consequences of the internal/external security continuum. While this continuum is studied in ongoing research, it contains essential value assumptions and ethical consequences that have remained largely under-studied, with significant consequences for both European policy and law-making in further security practices. It is the aim of the project to fill this lacuna by supplementing the current state-of-the art research on the continuum with an ethical and value-oriented analysis. Thus, INEX advances and tests the hypothesis that the practices that make up the internal/external security continuum are driven by an implicit logic of ethical values, that these values contribute significantly to structuring the continuum of security practices, and that they consequently have significant implications for the how present and future security policy should be formulated and implemented. The scientific research proposed by INEX is structured in two main phases, designed around two research axes: thematic and geopolitical.

Phase I will seek to document, clarify and analyze the ethical value assumptions implicit in four main dimensions of internal/external security practice: **(1)** the proliferation of security technologies for surveillance and border control; **(2)** the transnational legal dilemmas of European security practice; **(3)** the proliferation and shifting roles of security professionals; **(4)** the ethical implications of Common Foreign and Security Policy/European Defence and Security Policy implementation and its linkages to internal security challenges. This phase of the research provides the initial conceptualisation of these themes, developed from the empirical examination of security practices in Europe.

Phase II will articulate and evaluate the above ethical themes relative to the provisional results and future ambitions of the *European Neighbourhood Policy* (ENP) by examining in detail six representative countries covered by the arrangement ENP (Belarus, Ukraine, Moldova, Morocco, Algeria and Egypt). The ENP will serve as the lens through which the geopolitical adaptability of the internal/external security continuum, and the security practices described by the four themes above, is tested on a comparative geographical basis. This work will serve both as a set of transversal test cases evaluating the validity of the principles produced by Phase I and will contribute to correcting and expanding the relation between ethical values and security.

Project website: www.inexproject.eu